UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,286	05/04/2006	Steven T. Peake	GB03 0199 US1	1832	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 12/24/200	8	EXAMINER		
NXP INTELLE	NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT M/S41-SJ			TELLY D	
1109 MCKAY	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA	A 95131		2822		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/24/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/578,286	PEAKE, STEVEN	PEAKE, STEVEN T.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TELLY D. GREEN	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. Itutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this corABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 29 July 2008						
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
, <u> </u>	/ —	tters prosecution as to the	merits is				
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	was and a parte quayre, 1000 c	2. 11, 100 0.0. 210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are pending ir	า the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	• Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
		-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	by the Examiner. Note the attache	one of total of total 1	0 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the priority of3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in a of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 					

Application/Control Number: 10/578,286 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the filing of the Applicant's Amendment on July 29, 2008. Claim 2 has been cancelled. Claims 10-14 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 4-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 AAPA (Applicants Admitted Prior Art) in view of Hirler et al. (Hirler) (US Patent
 6,498,382 B2)

In regards to claims 1, 4-14, AAPA (Fig. 1) discloses a semiconductor body defining opposed first and second major surfaces (uppermost surface of structure in Fig. 1, bottom most surface of item 12); a drain region of a first conductivity type (items 2 plus 4, n-type) extending vertically between the second major surface and part of the first major surface; a body region (item 6, p-type) of a second conductivity type opposite to the first conductivity type extending from the first major surface to a body depth; a source region (item 8) of the first conductivity type adjacent to the body region at the first major surface; a source contact (item 10) contacting the source region and a drain contact (item 12) contacting the drain region(item 4), and an insulated gate (item 14) extending laterally over the first major surface over the body region, defining a channel region (not labeled, but is the region between item 8 and items 2 plus 4) extending in the body region from a source end adjacent to the source region to a drain

end adjacent to channel end part of the drain region, but does not specifically disclose further comprising: a conductive shield plate for shielding the gate, extending in an insulated trench from the first major surface towards the second major surface, the conductive shield plate being separated from the body region by part of the drain region including the channel end part of the drain region and the conductive shield plate being electrically connected to the source region, a conductive shield plate extension connected to the shield plate extending laterally over the first major surface of the drain region from the shield plate towards the channel end part of the drain region, the shield plate extension being separated by insulator from the drain region, wherein the shield plate trench extends to a depth that is substantially equal to the body depth, wherein the gate extends over the channel end part of the drift region by no more than about 0.4 microns, wherein the depth of the shield plate trench is between about 50% and about 200% of the depth of the body region.

Hirler (Figs. 2 and 3) discloses a conductive shield plate (item 6) for shielding the gate, extending in an insulated trench (items 5 plus 7) from the first major surface towards the second major surface, the conductive shield plate being separated (separated by item 7) from the body region by part of the drain region including the channel end part of the drain region and the conductive shield plate being electrically connected to the source region, a conductive shield plate extension (lateral portions of item 6 overlying items 4 and 7 in the horizontal direction) connected to the shield plate extending laterally over the first major surface of the drain region from the shield plate towards the channel end part of the drain region, the shield plate extension being separated by insulator (item 7) from the drain region, wherein the shield plate trench extends to a depth that is substantially equal to the body depth.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,286 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine the teachings above for the purpose of permittivity, reduction of on resistance and reduced length in drift path..

AAPA as modified by Hirler does not specifically disclose wherein the gate extends over the channel end part of the drift region by no more than about 0.4 microns, wherein the depth of the shield plate trench is between about 50% and about 200% of the depth of the body region.

However, the applicant has not established the critical nature of the gate extending over the channel end part of the drift region by no more than about 0.4 microns ($x \le 0.4$) or the depth of the shield plate trench is between about 50% and about 200% of the depth of the body region. "The law is replete with cases in which the difference between the claimed invention and the prior art is some range or other variable within the claims. In such a situation, the applicant must show that the particular range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range." In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir.1990). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have various ranges.

3. Claim 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA (Applicants Admitted Prior Art) in view of Hirler et al. (Hirler) (US Patent 6,498,382 B2) as applied to claims 1 and 4-14 above, and further in view of Tihanyi (US Patent 5,973,360).

In regards to claim 3, AAPA as modified by Hirler disclose all of the limitations above except a gate insulator layer extends under both the gate and the shield plate extension.

Tihanyi (Fig. 1) discloses a gate insulator layer (item 5) extends under both the gate (item 6) and the shield plate extension (item 7).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to combine the teachings above for the purpose of isolation from the drift region.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references are cited for disclosing related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Tihanyi et al. US Patent 6,534,830 B2

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TELLY D. GREEN whose telephone number is (571)270-3204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST..

Application/Control Number: 10/578,286 Page 6

Art Unit: 2822

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Zandra V. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2822

/Telly D Green/ Examiner, Art Unit 2822 December 18, 2008